

Arrangements for Commissioning Services – Carmarthenshire County Council

Audit year: 2023-24

Date issued: December 2024

Document reference: 4692A2025

This document has been prepared as part of work performed in accordance with statutory functions.

In the event of receiving a request for information to which this document may be relevant, attention is drawn to the Code of Practice issued under section 45 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000. The section 45 code sets out the practice in the handling of requests that is expected of public authorities, including consultation with relevant third parties. In relation to this document, the Auditor General for Wales and Audit Wales are relevant third parties. Any enquiries regarding disclosure or re-use of this document should be sent to Audit Wales at infoofficer@audit.wales.

We welcome correspondence and telephone calls in Welsh and English. Corresponding in Welsh will not lead to delay. Rydym yn croesawu gohebiaeth a galwadau ffôn yn Gymraeg a Saesneg. Ni fydd gohebu yn Gymraeg yn arwain at oedi.

Mae'r ddogfen hon hefyd ar gael yn Gymraeg. This document is also available in Welsh.

Contents

Summary	report
---------	--------

Why we did this audit			
Our audit duties	4		
Our objectives for this audit	4		
Why commissioning is important	4		
What we looked at and what does good look like			
Our audit methods and when we undertook the audit	5		
What we found			
Our recommendations for the Council			
Appendices			
Appendix 1 – audit questions and criteria	9		

Summary report

Why we did this audit

Our audit duties

- 1 We are carrying out this audit under the duties contained within:
 - section 17 of the Public Audit (Wales) Act 2004 (the 2004 Act) to help enable
 the Auditor General to be satisfied (or not) that the Council has put in place
 proper arrangements to secure value for money in the use of its resources;
 - section 15 of the Well-being of Future Generations (Wales) Act 2015 to help enable the Auditor General to assess the extent to which the Council is acting in accordance with the sustainable development principle in taking steps to meet its well-being objectives.
- This audit may also inform a study for improving value for money under section 41 of the 2004 Act.

Our objectives for this audit

- 3 Our objectives for this audit are to:
 - provide assurance that councils have proper arrangements for commissioning services to secure value for money in the use of resources;
 - provide assurance that councils are acting in accordance with the sustainable development principle in commissioning services; and
 - explain how councils approach the commissioning of services and inspire
 and empower councils and other public sector bodies by identifying and
 sharing examples of notable practice/approaches where relevant.
- 4 We are undertaking this audit at each of the 22 principal councils in Wales.

Why commissioning is important

- Commissioning is the process by which the Council designs services it intends to deliver. It starts with defining the service and the desired outputs and outcomes and ends when the Council organises its method of delivery. For example, by establishing a delivery team internally, or through procuring an external supplier.
- 6 Commissioning arrangements can help to ensure services are delivered economically, efficiently and effectively. Conversely, weaknesses in commissioning arrangements can reduce both the impact and value for money of services, even if the processes which follow, such as procurement and contract management are robust.



What we looked at and what does good look like¹

- We reviewed the Council's arrangements for commissioning services and specifically the extent to which this has been developed in accordance with the sustainable development principle; and that it will help to secure value for money in the use of the Council's resources.
- This audit did not cover procurement arrangements or the Council's contract management arrangements.
- 9 The audit sought to answer the overall question: In commissioning services does the Council put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money in the use of its resources?
- We used the audit criteria set out in **Appendix 1**, which also shows how the criteria relate to the audit questions. This has been informed by our cumulative knowledge as well as the question hierarchy and positive indicators we have developed to support our Sustainable Development Principle examinations.



Our audit methods and when we undertook the audit

- Our findings are based on document reviews, and interviews with the senior officer(s) responsible for the development of the Council's strategic approach to commissioning. We also interviewed senior officers with responsibility for services that the Council has commissioned externally.
- Given the wide range of Council services, we undertook sample testing of the arrangements the Council has put in place to commission services. To do this we selected several services where the Council has taken the decision to commission services externally and examined the commissioning arrangements the Council has put in place. The sample of service areas we reviewed in the Council were:
 - Delta Wellbeing Communities
 - CWM Environmental Place, Infrastructure and Economic Development
 - Housing Management Software Communities
- 13 The evidence we have used to inform our findings is limited to these sources. We undertook this work during September and October 2024.

¹ Defined as 'what should be' according to laws or regulations, 'what is expected' according to best practice, or 'what could be', given better conditions.

14 We are undertaking this work at each of the 22 principal councils in Wales and, as well as reporting locally to each council, we also intend to produce a national report.



What we found

- Overall, we found that the Council considers the cost benefits of a range of options when commissioning services, but its arrangements do not provide assurance that this happens systemically. We set out below why we reached this conclusion.
- The Council demonstrated a clear rationale for commissioning services. For example, the Council's contract management guidance prompts contract managers to identify and understand the requirements for commissioning services. In the services reviewed, we found evidence of a range of options being considered to inform commissioning activity. This includes the use of specialist consultants to help inform the selection of options based on sector knowledge and expertise. Consideration was also given to the costs and benefits of each option.
- Despite this, there are weaknesses in the Council's arrangements which mean that departments may not systematically consider all commissioning options. For example, whilst the Council's contract management guidance prompts contract managers to consider options prior to contract expiry, it does not include the requirement to conduct options appraisal for any new commissioning activity. As a result, departments with little experience of commissioning services may also lack awareness of how to consider different options to secure value for money when commissioning services. Having a clear understanding of why services are being commissioned, what they are intended to achieve, and the different options available are important elements of arrangements to secure value for money.
- The Council demonstrates consideration of short and long-term factors when commissioning timescales. For example, when considering the timeframe for its housing management system, the Council documented the rationale for the contract length to provide a balance of service continuity and integration whilst considering the pace of change of IT software. This consideration is underpinned by the Council's contract management guidance, which prompts contract managers to set out the resources required to manage contracts over their lifespan when commissioning. Considering both the long and short-term implications of commissioning decisions reduces the risk of not achieving value for money over the longer term.
- The Council considers the wider impacts of its commissioned services across its own well-being objectives. The Council's tender gateway form prompts contract managers to document the consideration given to well-being objectives when undertaking commissioning. Officers also articulated how commissioned services contribute to achieving the Council's well-being objectives and how services

- contributed to them. The Council's annual procurement report also evaluates how procurement activity has contributed to the national well-being goals.
- 20 However, whilst the Council considers partnership working when commissioning services, we found little evidence of partners' well-being objectives being considered. This means that the Council is not able to demonstrate that it routinely considers the well-being objectives of other public sector bodies when commissioning services. Taking into account the wider implications of commissioning decisions can help the Council avoid duplication and identify multiple benefits that secure improved outcomes and value for money.
- The Council engages with a range of stakeholders when considering commissioning options. In the services reviewed there was good evidence of the Council engaging with stakeholders to inform commissioning decisions. This however was mainly driven by the relevant service area with little detail provided in the contract management guidance on who should be engaged or what good engagement looks like. This means that the Council risks not always considering how to involve the full diversity of the population when commissioning services. Working with the right people and partners can help the Council ensure that it commissions services that meet the needs of its communities, and that those services are delivered in a way that maximises value for money.
- The Council does not routinely evaluate its approach to commissioning. Corporate guidance prompts officers to review the effectiveness of a contract once it is nearing completion to inform future tender exercises. However, this is mostly focused on delivery against the contract specification and does not include consideration of the Council's broader commissioning approach.
- The Council also lacks a systematic approach to sharing lessons learnt in relation to its commissioning approach, both within the organisation and with partners. Evaluating the cost and effectiveness of commissioning arrangements is important to help identify areas for improvement, and share learning, and therefore to secure value for money.



Our recommendations for the Council

Exhibit 1: our recommendations for the Council

Recommendations

- R1 To enable the Council to assess and report on the value for money of commissioned services, it should establish a comprehensive options appraisal process to support decision making on commissioned services. The rationale for commissioning decisions should be:
 - fully documented;
 - informed by consideration of both short and long-term factors;
 - informed by the views of those who would be affected by the commissioned service; and
 - risk assessed including considering the full costs and benefits of each option.
- R2 The Council does not routinely evaluate commissioning processes and share the lessons learnt. To address this, the Council should establish arrangements to:
 - systematically evaluate the value for money of its approach to commissioning services; and
 - share lessons learnt from evaluating its commissioning approach across departments and with external partners where relevant.

Appendix 1

Audit questions and criteria

Overall question: In commissioning services does the Council put in place proper arrangements to secure value for money in the use of its resources?

Level 2 questions	Level 3 questions	Criteria
Does the Council have a clear rationale for commissioning services?	Does the Council clearly define the services it intends to commission and why?	 The Council sets out a clear definition of the services it intends to commission. The Council sets out 'why' it needs to commission the services including: the intended outcomes/impact of delivering the service; and if/how the service helps the Council meet its statutory duties.
	Does the Council conduct a comprehensive options appraisal of commissioning options before deciding to commission a service?	 The Council considers the full range of commissioning options for providing the service – options might include for example: in-house provision; partnership arrangements or approaches to commissioning within and across sectors; externalising the service to another provider through a trust or other arrangement; and contracting the service through a tender process. The Council considers the cost-benefits of the options from the perspective of economy, efficiency and effectiveness – including over the short, medium and long term. The Council includes the costs of contract management within its assessment of commissioning options. The Council includes an assessment of risk for each commissioning option as part of the assessment of cost-benefits.

		•	The Council uses a broad range of information from internal and external sources to develop an understanding of the current and long-term factors that are likely to impact on commissioned services.
Is the Council planning over an appropriate timescale?	Does the Council consider what long-term means when commissioning services?	•	The Council considers what long term means in planning its approach to commissioning services – ie how far ahead it can/should plan and why. The Council considers how it will balance short and long-term needs when planning the commissioning of services, including, for example, when determining the timeframe for contracts or other commissioning arrangements.
	Does the Council understand long-term resource implications when commissioning services?	•	The Council thinks about the resources it will need over the medium and longer term (whole life costs) to deliver commissioned services. The Council considers how a range of future budget reduction scenarios could be implemented to commissioned services.
	Does the Council set out how it will monitor the value for money of commissioned services over the short, medium and long term?	•	The Council sets out the monitoring arrangements it will follow for the commissioned service. The Council should set out how value for money of commissioned services will be assessed and monitored including: - costs and benefits; and - progress towards short, medium and longer-term milestones and measures.
Has the Council thought about the wider impacts its commissioned service could have?	In commissioning services, does the Council consider how it can maximise their contribution to the Council's well-being objectives?	•	The Council considers how commissioned services will impact on all of its own well-being objectives to secure multiple benefits, avoid duplication or any unintended consequences. Eg consideration of longer-term objectives, local supply chain, market resilience and impact of contract length of time, bio-diversity, carbon reduction.
	In commissioning services, does the Council consider how services can maximise	•	The Council considers how commissioned services will impact on the well-being objectives of other public sector bodies to secure

	their contribution to the well- being objectives of other public sector bodies?	multiple benefits and avoid duplication or any unintended consequences.
	In commissioning services, does the Council consider how services can maximise their contribution to the National Well-being Goals?	 The Council considers how commissioned services will impact on the national well-being goals to secure multiple benefits and avoid duplication or any unintended consequences.
Is the Council working with the right people and partners to design and deliver its commissioned services?	Has the Council identified who it needs to involve?	 The Council understands who will be directly and indirectly affected by commissioned services. This has been informed by a stakeholder analysis or similar.
	Is the Council involving the full diversity of people?	 The Council involves the full diversity of views in deciding how to commission services. The Council uses the results of involvement activity to inform how
		it will commission services, including its impact on groups who share protected characteristics.
	Is the Council collaborating with the right partners?	 The Council has a good understanding of which partners and potential partners it could work with to commission services to improve value for money. This has been informed by comprehensive analysis of potential partners and their priorities. Where opportunities to improve value for money by commissioning of services in partnership are identified, the Council pursues them.
Does the Council have arrangements in place to evaluate the value for money of its approach to commissioning?	Does the Council routinely evaluate the effectiveness of commissioning arrangements?	The Council regularly reviews the effectiveness of its approach to commissioning including: the extent to which commissioned services meet the objectives the Council has set; and the value for money of chosen commissioning models including consideration of inputs, outputs and outcomes.
	Does the Council routinely share any lessons learned to inform future commissioning exercises?	 The Council shares and applies any lessons learned from its approach to commissioning widely across the organisation, and with partners where relevant.

Page 11 of 12 - Arrangements for Commissioning Services – Carmarthenshire County Council



Audit Wales

Tel: 029 2032 0500

Fax: 029 2032 0600

Textphone: 029 2032 0660

E-mail: info@audit.wales

Website: www.audit.wales

We welcome correspondence and telephone calls in Welsh and English. Rydym yn croesawu gohebiaeth a galwadau ffôn yn Gymraeg a Saesneg.